



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

MCKELLAR IP LAW, PLLC  
784 SOUTH POSEYVILLE ROAD  
MIDLAND, MI 48640

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 29 2008**

|                                    |   |                       |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| In re Application of               | : |                       |
| <b>RASOR, Allen C. Sr.</b>         | : |                       |
| Application No. 10/735,015         | : | DECISION ON PETITION  |
| Filed: December 12, 2003           | : | UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b) |
| Attorney Docket No. <b>MSH-489</b> | : |                       |

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 30, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of January 12, 2006. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(A)(2). Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is April 13, 2006.


37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement is being construed as the required statement. Petitioner must notify the Office if this is not a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition.

The petition is not accompanied by a statement of express abandonment in favor of the filing of a continuing application. In order to facilitate action, the petition to revive should include reference to the filing of a continuing application and a letter of express abandonment, conditional upon the granting of the petition and of a filing date to the continuing application. Nevertheless, in view of the statement that the reply is the filing of a continuing application, the statement will be construed as a request to expressly abandon this application in favor of the continuing application. If this was not the intent of applicant, the Office should be promptly notified.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b); (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

This application is being revived solely for purposes of continuity. As continuity has been established by this decision, the application is again abandoned in favor of continuing application 11/799,371 filed April 30, 2007.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Monica A. Graves at (571) 272-7253.



Brian W. Brown  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions